

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Rod Johnson, on the Hoagland amendment.

SENATOR R. JOHNSON: Mr. President, members, I just want to go on record saying that I have taken over this bill or inherited this bill in Senator Beutler's absence since his resignation and so I was aware of Senator Hoagland's amendment, and I question the need to put a terroristic threat proposal in this particular bill dealing with cable theft of cable services but I will live with whatever the body may choose to do, but I guess I am somewhat concerned about the idea of tacking this proposal on to a cable theft legislation question. I don't question the need of the legislation. I just question the vehicle that is being used and I hope that it will not detour the ability of 464 to be advanced and passed by this Legislature.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator DeCamp.

SENATOR DECAMP: I would question the germaneness. I know I have stretched germaneness and everybody else has, and I usually give the benefit of the doubt. I guess I don't think there is any benefit and very little doubt on this one, and I would just suggest it is not even remotely germane.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Senator Hoagland.

SENATOR HOAGLAND: Let me indicate that I think it is very important that we deal with this issue this session if we possibly can because right now there is a void in the criminal law. However, in light of Senator DeCamp's objection, I will withdraw the amendment and offer it later in the session.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Okay. Senator Haberman, he withdrew the amendment so we are back to...thank you. Senator Rod Johnson.

SENATOR R. JOHNSON: Mr. President, I would simply move the advancement of LB 464.

SPEAKER NICHOL: Now, Senator Haberman, do you wish to speak on the bill?

SENATOR HABERMAN: Well, Mr. President, I support the bill.